

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

 $\nu$ 

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,898	11/21/2003	Kenji Dosaka	107348-00389	7383
4372 ARENT FOX I	7590 09/26/200 LLP	Kenji Dosaka	EXAMINER	
1050 CONNEC SUITE 400	TICUT AVENUE, N.	MAYEKAR, KISHOR		
	WASHINGTON, DC 20036			PAPER NUMBER
,			1753	
	INGTON, DC 20036			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/26/2007	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DCIPDocket@arentfox.com IPMatters@arentfox.com Patent\_Mail@arentfox.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/717,898	DOSAKA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Kishor Mayekar	1753		
The MAILING	DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the			
Period for Reply					
WHICHEVER IS LO  - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from the second for reply is sponsor failure to reply within the second for reply received by the second for reply received by the second for the seco	NGER, FROM THE MAILING D available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 in the mailing date of this communication. ecified above; the maximum statutory period set or extended period for reply will, by statute	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from (14) and (14) and (15) and (	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 08 A	ugust 2007.			
2a) ☐ This action is I	· · · · <u> </u>	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this app	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in acco	rdance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <i>1-12</i>	is/are pending in the application				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ve claim(s) <u>4-12</u> is/are withdraw				
5)☐ Claim(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is	=				
7) Claim(s)	_ is/are objected to.	·			
8) Claim(s)	_ are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	-		
Application Papers					
<u> </u>	on is objected to by the Examine	ar	•		
•	•	epted or b)⊡ objected to by the	e Examiner.		
	•	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,	tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	` '		
		kaminer. Note the attached Office			
Priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119				
		priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).		
	ome * c)☐ None of:				
1.⊠ Certified	copies of the priority document	s have been received.			
2. Certified	copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applica	ation No		
3.☐ Copies o	of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been recei	ved in this National Stage		
applicati	on from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·		
* See the attached	d detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ved.		
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cit     Notice of Draftsperson's	ted (PTO-892) Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail (			
3) X Information Disclosure S	Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6		6) Other:			

## DETAILED ACTION

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of invention of Group I, claims 1-3 in the reply filed on 8 August 2007 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP  $\S$  818.03(a)).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the recitation "generating plasma ... and containing the particulate material" is confusing as which recited subject containing the particulate material.

In claim 2, the phrase "or the like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "or the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

In claim 3, the same is applied to claim 2. And the recitations "the surface", one of opposed electrodes" and "the other electrode" lacks antecedent basis.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by 5. Caren et al. (US 6,029,442) in light of Evans et al. (US 6,479,023 B1). Caren's invention is directed to a method and apparatus for using free radicals to reduce pollutants in the exhaust gases from the combustion of fuel. Caren discloses that the method comprises the steps of generating plasma in an exhaust gas from an internal combustion engine to produce highly oxidizing free radicals such as hydroxyl radicals (read on the recited  $O(^{1}D)$ ), OH, hydroperoxyl radical (read on the recited per-hydroxide), HOO, and ozone,  $O_{3}$ , by a corona discharge from water vapor and residual oxygen in the exhaust gases and oxidizing the pollutants in the exhaust gases (col. 1, lines 13-25; paragraph crossing cols. 4 and 5; col. 7, line 53 through col. 8, line 24; and claim 40). Caren also discloses that the internal combustion engine is a gasoline engine or a diesel engine (col. 1, lines 29-53) and

Art Unit: 1753

lean burn engine (col. 2, lines 63-65). Evans teaches the exhaust gases from a combustion

engine containing particulate material (col. 1, lines 13-27). As such Caren in light of Evans

discloses all the steps as claimed.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 2 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter: Because the prior art references do not disclose in a process for decreasing the

content of a particulate material contained in an exhaust gas from a lean burn engine the

recited value for the intensity E and the power density Dw in the plasma generating

conditions in combination with the recited steps of generating and oxidizing as claimed in

claim 2.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Caren et al. (US 6,330,794 B1), a continuation of Caren '442,

discloses in claim 68 that the engine is a lean burn engine.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kishor Mayekar whose telephone number is (571) 272-1339. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kishor Mayekar Primary Examiner Art Unit 1753